# Discrimination Training and Reweighting of Interaural Level vs. Time Difference Cues

Udbhav Singhal<sup>1</sup> , Maike Klingel <sup>1,2</sup>, Norbert Kopčo <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Computer Science, P. J. Šafárik University in Košice, <sup>2</sup>University of Vienna

This project is a continuation of the work supported by WTZ grant ASH (Adaptability in Spatial Hearing), #MULT 07/2020, APVV DS-FR-19-0025 and VEGA 1/0350/22, 2022-24.





#### Background

- Normal-hearing (NH) listeners rely on two binaural cues for sound localization in horizontal plane:
- Interaural Time Difference (ITD)
  - results from difference in the travel time from a sound source to the ears.
  - dominant at low frequencies (up to 1.5 kHz).
- Interaural Level Difference (ILD)
  - results from head-shadowing and difference in distance from sources to the two ears.
  - dominant at high frequencies (above 1.5 kHz).

#### Backgraound

- NH listeners weigh binaural localization cues based on the sound's frequency (Macpherson & Middlebrooks, 2002)
- Other factors influence binaural cue weighting (a.k.a. trading ratio):
  - Overall Level (Deatherage & Hirsh, 1959)
  - Active manipulation of one of the cues / attention (Lang & Buchner, 2008)
  - Room Acoustics (Rakerd & Hartmann, 2010)
- Studies attempting to induce a change in binaural cue weighting produced mixed results:
  - No reweighting effect (Jeffress & McFaddeen, 1971)
  - ILD weights increased, but ITD weights did not (Kumpik et al, 2019)
  - Reweighting induced in both directions, using AV training in virtual environment (Klingle et all, 2021)

#### Aim

Propose a simpler training protocol to induce reweighting without requiring sophisticated AV/VR equipment (e.g., that could be run as a cell-phone-based training game):

Adaptive training using left/right discrimination task with feedback.

#### Methods

#### • Three groups:

- ILD group (n = 11): Feedback congruent with ILDs (Klingel et al., 2020)
- ITD group (n = 14): Feedback congruent with ITDs
- Control group (n = 11): no training (Klingel et al., 2020)
- Auditory stimuli:
  - Narrow-band noise bursts (2-4 kHz) presented over headphones
  - Incongruent combinations of ITDs and ILDs
  - Catch trials with congruent cues, to monitor participants' performance
- Experiment performed on 3 consecutive days:
  - Day1: Pretest (all groups) + 1<sup>st</sup> training session (training groups only)
  - Day 2: 2<sup>nd</sup> training session (training groups only)
  - Day 3: 3<sup>rd</sup> training session (training groups only) + Posttest (all groups)
- Two-interval, 2AFC left/right discrimination task
  - Pre/Posttest: Constant Stimuli
  - Training: 2-down-1-up adaptive staircase procedure

#### One trial – Pre/Posttest weight estimation



 $az_1$  and  $az_2$  varied from -70 to 70°, az disparity of up to 25.2°.

#### One trial – Pre/Posttest



sound presentation -> response

ILD weight estimated as proportion of trials in which responses were consistent with ILD motion direction ( $w_{ITD} = 1 - w_{ILD}$ ).

#### One trial - Training



Az of trained cue (eg az<sub>ITD</sub>) varied adaptively.

az<sub>ILD</sub>-az<sub>ITD</sub> constant in adaptive track.

3 adaptive tracks run in parallel (with az<sub>ILD</sub>-az<sub>ITD</sub> of 18, 21.6 and 25.2°)

#### One trial - Training



On incorrect trial, subject asked to listen to sound again and imagine the sound moving in correct direction and respond accordingly.



#### RESULTS: individual ILD weights in pre/posttest



In ITD group, 3 subjects changed their weights in direction opposite to training.

#### **RESULTS: ITD Group Performance on Catch Trials**



All but 4 subjects improved catch-trial performance form pre to posttest.

#### RESULTS: individual ILD weights in pre/posttest



When catch-trial outliers excluded, training works for ITD group.

#### RESULTS w/o ITD outliers



When catch-trial outliers excluded, training works for ITD group.

#### Summary and future directions

- Responses followed the ILD azimuth significantly more often in the posttest than in the pretest for the ILD training group (Klingel et al., 2020).
- After removing outliers, the training effect also observed for ITD group.
- Binaural reweighting can be induced in both directions by simple adaptive discrimination training without visual signals.
- Training not expected to result in compression of space as in visually guided training (Klingel et al., 2021)
- Training individualized (visually-guided training was not).
- While the weight estimates varied with target azimuth and spatial disparity, the weight change was approximately independent of these spatial factors.
- Next step: Investigate why weighting changes with azimuth using a decision theory model which provides a weight estimate independent of disparity.
- Integrate into existing auditory brain training game "Listen" (from UCR Brain Game Center).
- Test whether training works for HI subjects (e.g., ITD training for CI users).

## Modeling the reference frame of the ventriloquism aftereffect

Ing. Peter Lokša, PhD.

co-author: doc. Norbert Kopčo PhD.

Perception and cognition lab: PCL.UPJS.SK

#### Results of Experiments: Ref. Frame of VAE



RFs differ for central vs. peripheral experiments (7 subjects):

- Central mixed RF
- Peripheral mostly headcentered RF

#### Previous model and its performance



Loksa & Kopco (2022) model:

- can predict the central and peripheral data separately, but not simultaneously.
- even for the central data, predictions are better when "FP-attenuation" mechanism considered, not ECreferenced ventriloquism signals.



### New model and its performance



New model:

- Only assumes
- a HC-referenced ventriloquism effect on auditory space representation, and adaptation in auditory saccades by ventriloquism.
- Can predict both central and peripheral data.
- $\rightarrow$  Reference frame of ventriloquism aftereffect only head-centered





# Neuronal correlates of auditory distance perception

#### Keerthi Doreswamy <sup>1,2</sup> Jyrki Ahveninen<sup>2</sup> Samantha Huang<sup>2</sup>, Stephanie Rossi<sup>2</sup> Norbert Kopčo<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Computer Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice
<sup>2</sup> Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Harvard Medical School/Massachusetts General Hospital



Date: 19-01-23 Place: Vienna



Supported by the EU Danube Region Strategy & The Slovak Research and Development Agency DS-FR-19-0025, EU H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015 project #691229 and NIH grants R01DC017991, R01DC016915, R01DC016765, and R21DC014134

#### **Distance perception: level-independent cues**

Goal: test whether previously identified distance brain areas encode distance cues or percept.



#### **Behavioral experiments**

Task:



#### fMRI experiments – univariate analysis

**Volume-based fMRI analysis** 

Slices: 19-22



**Congruent vs Incongruent** 

**Congruent vs ILD only** 



Differences in activation of single voxels in contralateral STG+PT consistent with units **sensitive to DRR**, **not** distance percept.

**Incongruent vs ILD only** 

### fMRI Multi-Variate Pattern Analysis (MVPA)





An average difference in z-transformed correlations between same and differentcondition split-half correlations in volume based fMRI analysis. (n= 13)

Distributed activation in contralateral STG+PT consistent with units sensitive to distance percept.